

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

FRANCIS GRANDINETTI,  
#A0185087,

Plaintiff,

v.

IIO ADMINISTRATOR,  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC  
SAFETY – HI, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. 21-00033 DKW-KJM

DISMISSAL ORDER

Before the Court is pro se prisoner Francis Grandinetti's ("Grandinetti") "Federal Complaint and Lawsuit in USDC" ("Complaint"). ECF No. 1. Grandinetti, who is currently incarcerated at the Halawa Correctional Facility, complains about the handling of his various inmate grievances. *Id.* at PageID # 1. Grandinetti has accrued three strikes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).<sup>1</sup> For more than fifteen years, judges in this district have repeatedly told Grandinetti that

---

<sup>1</sup>*See, e.g., Grandinetti v. Iranon*, No. 96-cv-00101-RC-KFG (E.D. Tex.) (dismissing § 1983 complaint as frivolous on January 26, 1998); *Grandinetti v. Iranon*, No. 96-cv-00118 (E.D. Tex.) (dismissing § 1983 complaint as frivolous on July 20, 1998); *Grandinetti v. Bobby Ross Group, Inc.*, No. 96-cv-00117-TH-WCR (E.D. Tex.) (dismissing § 1983 complaint as frivolous and for failure to state a claim on March 5, 1999); *Grandinetti v. U.S. Marshals Serv.*, Civ. No. 00-00489 SOM-KSC (D. Haw.) (dismissing § 1983 complaint for failure to state a claim on August 1, 2000); *Grandinetti v. FTC Seg. Unit Staff*, 426 F. App'x 576, 576 (9th Cir. 2011) (affirming district court's dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)'s "three strikes" provision).

he may not proceed without prepayment of the filing fee unless his pleadings plausibly show that he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time that he brings an action.<sup>2</sup> *See Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1052-53, 1055 (9th Cir. 2007). Despite these repeated warnings, Grandinetti has continued to file actions without prepaying the filing fee or showing he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury, including more than sixty actions in this court during the last five years alone.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>*See, e.g., Grandinetti v. Stampfle*, Civ. No. 05-00692 HG-LK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Moga-Rivera*, Civ. No. 06-00095 DAE-LEK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Lingle*, Civ. No. 06-00137 HG-LK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Ariyoshi*, Civ. No. 06-00146 JMS-LK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Bent*, Civ. No. 06-00147 DAE-LK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. FDC Branch Staff*, Civ. No. 07-00053 DAE-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Honolulu Int'l Airport Officials*, Civ. No. 07-00082 JMS-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Frank*, Civ. No. 07-00488 SOM-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Moga-Riveira*, Civ. No. 12-00397 SOM-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Sakai*, Civ. No. 12-00432 HG-RLP (D. Haw.).

<sup>3</sup>*See, e.g., Grandinetti v. Redwood Toxicology Lab*, Civ. No. 15-00059 LEK-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Martinez*, Civ. No. 15-00081 SOM-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Olsen*, Civ. No. 15-00082 LEK-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Judiciary of Hawaii*, Civ. No. 15-00089 JMS-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Taylor*, Civ. No. 15-00294 JMS-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Humane Restraint Co.*, Civ. No. 15-00456 LEK-BMK (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Matsuoka*, Civ. No. 16-00419 LEK-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Stampfle*, Civ. No. 16-00436 JMS-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Alexander*, Civ. No. 16-00480 LEK-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Sells*, Civ. No. 16-00517 DKW-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Jinbo*, Civ. No. 16-00674 LEK-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Espinda*, Civ. No. 17-00004 JMS-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mun*, Civ. No. 17-00215 DKW-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Honolulu Police Dep't*, Civ. No. 17-0029 DKW-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Urine Drug Test*, Civ. No. 17-00418 LEK-KSC (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hawaii*, Civ. No. 17-00505 DKW-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Agaron-Payne Auditors*, Civ. No. 17-00591 JMS-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Heggman*, Civ. No. 18-00111 HG-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Espinda*, Civ. No. 18-00208 JMS-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Liaux*, Civ. No. 18-00289 JMS-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hawaii*, Civ. No. 18-00374 LEK-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hegmann*, Civ. No. 18-00396 DKW-RLP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hamidi*, Civ. No. 18-00483 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mee*, Civ. No. 19-00187 LEK-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Office of the Pub. Def.*, Civ. No. 19-00205 LEK-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Pule*, Civ. No. 19-00208 DKW-RT

And once again, perhaps predictably by now, Grandinetti fails to show that he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury when he filed this Complaint. *See* ECF No. 1. Nothing within the Complaint shows or even suggests that Grandinetti was in imminent danger of serious physical injury as a result of Defendants' alleged mishandling of Grandinetti's various inmate grievances. *See*

---

(D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Zollinger*, Civ. No. 19-00242 JMS-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Ige*, Civ. No. 19-00278 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Corr. Corp. of Am. (CCA)*, Civ. No. 19-00291 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mun*, Civ. No. 19-00305 LEK-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Thomas*, Civ. No. 19-00312 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Trump*, Civ. No. 19-00341 JAO-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Thomas*, Civ. No. 19-00360 LEK-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Circuit Court of the Third Circuit*, Civ. No. 19-00384 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Oshiro*, Civ. No. 19-00409 JMS-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Espinda*, Civ. No. 19-00419 HG-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Loza*, Civ. No. 19-00526 JMS-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. CoreCivic*, Civ. No. 19-00556 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Haw. Paroling Auth. (HPA)*, Civ. No. 19-00559 SOM-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Agaran*, Civ. No. 19-00568 LEK-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Barajas*, Civ. No. 19-00569 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mee*, Civ. No. 19-00591 HG-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Macadamia*, Civ. No. 19-00605 JAO-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Saguaro Corr. Ctr.*, Civ. No. 19-00607 JMS-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Off. of Disciplinary Counsel*, Civ. No. 19-00626 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Roth*, Civ. No. 19-00634 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Bradley*, Civ. No. 19-00684 LEK-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Governors of Haw.*, Civ. No. 19-00685 LEK-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Anderson*, Civ. No. 20-00014 DKW-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hegmann*, Civ. No. 20-00017 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Valenzuela*, Civ. No. 20-00042 JMS-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mun*, Civ. No. 20-00055 HG-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Frink*, Civ. No. 20-00068 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Ioane*, Civ. No. 20-00086 JMS-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Espinda*, Civ. No. 20-00088 JMS-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Mee*, Civ. No. 20-00110 LEK-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Sebastian*, Civ. No. 20-00109 SOM-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. CoreCivic*, Civ. No. 20-00155 JMS-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Robertson*, Civ. No. 20-00180 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Rodby*, Civ. No. 20-00190 DKW-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Hawaii*, Civ. No. 20-00253 SOM-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Off. of Pub. Def.*, Civ. No. 20-00276 JAO-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Inst. Grievance Officers, DPS-HI*, Civ. No. 20-00332 JAO-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Nale*, Civ. No. 20-00344 JAO-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Komori*, Civ. No. 20-00346 DKW-WRP (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Barajas*, Civ. No. 20-00347 LEK-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Harrington*, Civ. No. 20-00408 LEK-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Ruiz*, Civ. No. 20-00469 DKW-RT (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. Feldt*, Civ. No. 20-00467 SOM-KJM (D. Haw.); *Grandinetti v. HMSF Intake/Screening Physician*, Civ. No. 20-00471 LEK-WRP (D. Haw.).

*Young v. Peterson*, 548 F. App'x 479, 480 (9th Cir. 2013) (affirming denial of application to proceed in forma pauperis because plaintiff “failed to allege sufficient facts to show that he was under an imminent danger of serious physical injury when he lodged his complaint”); *Reberger v. Baker*, 657 F. App'x 681, 684 (9th Cir. 2016) (“[Plaintiff’s] allegations . . . are too vague and speculative to invoke the exception to the three-strikes rule.”).

The Court construes Grandinetti’s filing of this action without paying the civil filing fee as an informal request to proceed in forma pauperis. So construed, the request is DENIED for the reasons set forth above, and this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. This does not prevent Grandinetti from raising his claims in a new action with concurrent payment of the civil filing fee. The Clerk is DIRECTED to terminate this case. The Court will take no action on any other documents filed herein beyond processing a notice of appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 15, 2021 at Honolulu, Hawaii.



/s/ Derrick K. Watson  
 Derrick K. Watson  
 United States District Judge

---

*Francis Grandinetti v. HIO Administrator, Dep't of Pub. Safety – HI, et al.*; Civ. No. 21-00033 DKW-KJM; **DISMISSAL ORDER**